IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 5014 OF 1994

M/s. Tirupati Trading Co. .. Petitioner

Vs.

Bombay Agricultural Produce
Market Committee and ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Mukesh Aiya for petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.1.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2022 OF 1995
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1496 OF 1996

M/s. Vardhman Trading Co. .. Petitioner

Vs.

CIDCO Project Committee of
Fam Federation of Ass. of
Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Ms. Usha Singh i/b M/s. Vyas & Bhalwal for petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.2.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2023 OF 1995
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1498 OF 1996

1. M/s. Mayank Trading Company .. Petitioner

Vs.

1. CIDCO Project Committee of FAM
and ors. .. Respondents

Ms. Usha Singh i/b M/s. Vyas & Bhalwal for petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.2.
Mr. P.M. Patil, AGP for respondent no.4.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2024 OF 1995
WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.1497 OF 1996

1. M/s. Chillies & Garlic Commission .. Petitioner

Vs.

1. CIDCO Project Committee of FAM
and ors. .. Respondents

Ms. Usha Singh i/b M/s. Vyas & Bhalwal for petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.2.
Mr. P.M. Patil, AGP for respondent no.4.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3042 OF 1995

Squire Bhagar Mill & anr. .. Petitioners

Vs.

Bombay Agricultural Produce
Marketing Committee & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.1.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3678 OF 1995

Mr. Pratap Kesharchand Doshi .. Petitioner

Vs.

Bombay Agricultural Produce
Marketing Committee and Ors. .. Respondents

None for the petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.1.
Mr. P.M. Patil, AGP for State.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3702 OF 1995
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 215 OF 2001

Shri Gopal Ramdeo Shenoy .. Petitioner

Vs.

B.A.P.M.C. and ors. .. Respondents

None for the petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.1.
Mr. P.M. Patil, AGP for State.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 5876 OF 1996

Santosh Kumar Jagatnarayan Gupta .. Petitioner

Vs.

The Bombay Agricultural Produce
Market Committee and ors. .. Respondents

None for the petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent no.1.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6687 OF 1998

Amrutlal Dagdu Shedge .. Petitioner

Vs.

Mumbai Agricultural Produce
Market Committee .. Respondent

None for the petitioner.
Mr. Prashant Naik for respondent.

CORAM : B. H. MARLAPALLE &
D. B. BHOSALE,JJ.

Date : March 22, 2006.

P.C.:

. This group of petitions pertains to the relief of seeking directions for allotment of shop-cum-godown out of 228 additional shops-cum-godown constructed by the respondent no.1-Market Committee. It appears that during the pendency of these petitions and consequent to the consent order passed in Writ Petition No.4342 of 1994 on 23/6/1995 as well as the subsequent order

passed on 14/6/1996 in Writ Petition No.5830 of 1996 all the shops-cum-godown have been allotted as per the consent terms and the award of the sole Arbitrator passed on 4/5/1998. We have also noted that Writ Petition Nos.4293/94, 5123/96 and 4606/94 have been disposed off by this court by taking into consideration the allotment orders issued on 22/3/2000.

. Under the circumstances, nothing further survives in these petitions when, admittedly, shops-cum-godown referred to in the consent orders have been allotted strictly as per the award passed by the sole Arbitrator.

. The petitions are, therefore, disposed as infructuous and by noting that the allotment has been completed as per the award passed. Rule discharged with no order as to costs.

. In view of the above, Civil Applications do not survive and stand disposed off.

(D.B. Bhosale,J.) **(B.H. Marlapalle, J.)**